ISSN: 0973-8355



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF

MATHEMATICAL, MODELLING, SIMULATIONS AND APPLICATIONS





ISSN 0973-8355www.ijmmsa.com

Vol 7,Issuse 2.May 2019

Performance development of three Port excessive Frequency Transformer remote Triple active Bridge Converter

Suneethakadavala, muli naidu guri,sravana lakshmi pemmadi

Abstract—This paper discusses and compares few strategies for efficiency improvement of three-port Triple active Bridge(TAB) Converter. Transformer isolated 3 port segment shifted Triple active Bridge dc-dc converters are very efficient in nature imparting high performance, ZVS operation over huge range, galvanic isolation and bidirectional electricity glide functionality. The natural flip-on ZVS for switching devices in DAB or TAB converters is a very beneficial property for the use of Mosfets, because it reduces the device losses by means of a massive margin. The herbal ZVS is lost for section shifted converters at low power running regions, inflicting reduction in performance. This paper discusses a contrast among phase shift operation at constant frequency vs segment shift operation at varying frequency and varying responsibility cycle operation at constant frequency, which ends up in a more running range of natural flip-on ZVS for this reason enhancing the performance. A evaluation of the three strategies have been offered in this paper with experimental outcomes from a 10kW hardware prototype fabricated from SiCMosfets.

Keywords—Triple Active Bridge, Phase Shifted Converter, ZVS turn-on, SiC MOSFET, Efficiency Improvement

I. INTRODUCTION

Integrating large scale(few MWs) Renewable EnergySources(RES) into grid, is a challenging task and it requiresefficient and reliable converters. Moreover, Renewable EnergySources like PV or Wind has intermittent power fluctuationswhich of Energy require some form Systems(ESS)to support the power fluctuations. The integration of energystorage is an interesting topic of research as the integrationcan be carried out at modular building block level, wherethe ESS is integrated with RES at a lower power level. The second method to integrate ESS is to use a separatehigh power converter in parallel to RES converter for gridintegration. In this paper, the method of integrating RESwith ESS at modular level is discussed, since it presentsthe advantage of reducing the power fluctuations at buildingblock level.

Phase shifted dc-dc converters[1]-[5] have been veryinteresting topic of research in power electronics. Thephase shifted dc-dc converters provide the advantages ofbidirectional power flow capability, natural ZVS turn-on, highefficiency, wide range of operating voltages. In this paper,a three port Triple Active Bridge(TAB) converter(figure 1)is used for integrating Renewable Energy Sources(RES) and Energy Storage stems(ESS),[5],[8]-

Assoc.prof
Department: EEE
Visakha Institute of Engineering & Technology,
Division, GVMC,Narava, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh.


[11]. The natural turn-onof switching devices in DAB or TAB converter provides highfor switching SiCMosfets as they have significant amount of switching losses during turn-on, with two components of switching losses (a) switching loss due to rising devicecurrent and falling device voltage, (b) discharge of chargeheld by device capacitance Cdsin the device channel[6][7]. The hard switching turn-off of SiC-Mosfets have very lowloss actually taking place during the turn-off as most of theturn-off energy is stored in device capacitance Cds. Hence, achieving a natural ZVS turn-on in SiC-Mosfets reduces theswitching loss by huge margin and provides the flexibility todesigners for opting higher switching frequency for the highfrequency transformer. However, the natural turn-on ZVS

ZVS. The second method uses duty cycle variationwith fixed frequency. Lower duty cycle requires high phaseshift angles, leading to higher line current, thus enabling the ZVS operation. In this paper, the two methods are compared against a 50% duty cycle operation at fixed frequency. The efficiency comparison is carried out for a 10kW SiCMosfetbased prototype with a high frequency transformer designed for 50kHz operating frequency.

II. THREE PORT PHASE SHIFTED CONVERTER ANALYSISFOR SQUARE WAVE WINDING VOLTAGES

A. Piecewise Linear Model& Average Power for Three PortTransformer

The three port phase shifted Triple active Bridge(TAB)converter is operated using phase shifted voltages for differentwindings. The converter mentioned in figure 1, uses a high frequencytransformer which has considerable leakage inductance



forswitchingMosfets depends on the direction and magnitudeof line current during turn-off, device capacitance and lineinductance. From [10],[12], the ZVS is achieved at higherline currents at mid-to-high power operating regions. At lowpower operating regions, the ZVS is drastically lost due toloss of natural turn-on ZVS with reduction of operating powerlevel, resulting in decrease of line current. In this paper, two methods are used to investigate theefficiency improvement of Triple Active Bridge(TAB) converterby extending the ZVS operating range. TAB converters are generally operated with square wave voltages with 50% duty cycle or with quasi-square wave voltages with less than 50% duty cycle. The first method includes increasing theswitching frequency of the converter, so that higher phaseangle is required for power transfer, leading to high windingcurrent and

Fig. 1: Triple Active Bridge(TAB) Phase-Shifted DC-DC Converter




Fig. 2: Equivalent Circuit of Transformer for TAB Converter

for power transfer and no series inductance is required. Theequivalent circuit of the high frequency transformer is shownin figure 2. The power transfer is dependent upon voltagemagnitudes, phase shift angles and leakage inductances of thehigh frequency transformer. The leakage inductances for thewinding high frequency transformer, act as energy transferringelements[1]-[5],[8]-[12]. The different power flow cases for thethree port converter of figure 1, can be described by the phaseshift angle magnitudes and directions. In figure 1, the voltage V3 is considered as the reference voltage and the other twowinding voltages V1, V2 are positioned at phase angles $\varphi 1, \varphi 2$. The transformer winding currents for phase shifted TABconverter, with square wave winding voltages, can be modeledusing piecewise linear nature of the winding currents. Thepiecewise linear model of the winding currents are functionsof phase shift angles $\omega 1$ and $\omega 2$. For this paper, it is assumed hat RES at port 1 acts as source and can only deliver power, while the ESS at port 2 can act as both source and sink. Thethree possible scenarios based on the phase shift angles aredepicted as (a)

 $-\varphi 1 < -\varphi 2 < 0$, (b) $-\varphi 2 < -\varphi 1 < 0$, and (c) $-\varphi 1 < 0 < \varphi 2$, as shown in figures 3-5.In order to realize the winding currents and the power




Fig. 3: Mode 1: Winding Voltages when $-\varphi 1 < -\varphi 2$ <0




Fig. 4: Mode 2: Winding Voltages when $-\varphi 2 < -\varphi 1$ <0




Fig. 5: Mode 3: Winding Voltages when $-\varphi 1 < 0 < \varphi 2$

flow expressions for the converter, the voltage Vm1 acrossthe magnetizing inductance Lm, referred to windings 1,2,3 are given in equations (1,2,3). The voltage term Vxy is used to refer to the voltage Vx referred to winding y. Similarly, inductance LLxy is used to refer to inductance LLx referred to winding y. The piecewise linear nature of the currents for windings 1,2 and 3 are given in equations (7-9). In these equations, θ_0 is initial instant for the linear interval x, θ_0 is any instant within that interval x and x and x and x is slope of interval x for winding y.

$$V_{m1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(V_1 L_{L21} L_{L31} + V_{21} L_{L1} L_{L31} + V_{31} L_{L21} L_{L1}) L_{m1}}{+ V_{31} L_{L21} L_{L1}) L_{m1}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

$$V_{m2} = \begin{bmatrix} (V_2L_{L12}L_{L32} + V_{12}L_{L2}L_{L32} \\ + V_{32}L_{L2}L_{L12})L_{m2} \\ L_{n2}^3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

$$V_{m3} = \begin{bmatrix} (V_{13}L_{L23}L_{L3} + V_{23}L_{L13}L_{L3} \\ + V_{3}L_{L23}L_{L13})L_{m3} \\ L_{n3}^{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

when

$$L_{n1}^{3} = L_{L1}L_{L21}L_{L31} + L_{L1}L_{L21}L_{m1} + L_{L1}L_{L31}L_{m1} + L_{L1}L_{L31}L_{m1} + L_{L21}L_{L31}L_{m1}$$
(4)

$$L_{n2}^{3} = L_{L12}L_{L2}L_{L32} + L_{L12}L_{L2}L_{m2} + L_{L12}L_{L33}L_{m2} + L_{L12}L_{L33}L_{m2} + L_{L2}L_{L33}L_{m2}$$
(5)

$$L_{n3}^{3} = L_{L13}L_{L23}L_{L3} + L_{L13}L_{L23}L_{m3} + L_{L13}L_{L3}L_{m3} + L_{L23}L_{L3}L_{m3}$$
(6)

$$\begin{split} i_1(\theta) &= i_1(\theta_0) + \frac{\{V_1(\theta) - V_{m1}(\theta)\}}{\omega L_{L1}} (\theta - \theta_0) \\ &= i_1(\theta_0) + m_x^{\ 1} (\theta - \theta_0) \end{split} \tag{7}$$

$$i_{2}(\theta) = i_{2}(\theta_{0}) + \frac{\{V_{2}(\theta) - V_{m2}(\theta)\}}{\omega L_{L2}} (\theta - \theta_{0})$$

$$= i_{2}(\theta_{0}) + m_{x}^{2}(\theta - \theta_{0})$$
(8)

$$i_{3}(\theta) = i_{3}(\theta_{0}) + \frac{\{V_{m3}(\theta) - V_{3}(\theta)\}}{\omega L_{L3}} (\theta - \theta_{0})$$

= $i_{3}(\theta_{0}) + m_{x}^{3}(\theta - \theta_{0})$ (9)

The derived power flow expressions in the above equations, reveal that the three port system is a coupled system and power flow of one port is coupled to the power flowing of theother two ports flow of one port cannot be controlled using one phase angle. The power flow control for this kind of system has been discussed in [5][11][12]. The instantaneous current expressions during switching instants are given inequations (10-18), where the arameters Kxy are functions of inductances during piecewise linear interval x for winding currenty.

$$i_1(-\phi_1) = -V_{de1}K_1^1\pi + V_{de2}^1K_2^1(\pi - 2|\phi_{12}|)$$

 $+V_{de3}^1K_3^1(\pi - 2|\phi_1|)$ (10)

$$i_1(-\phi_2) = -V_{dc1}K_1^1(\pi - 2|\phi_{12}|) + V_{dc2}^1K_2^1\pi + V_{dc3}^1K_3^1(\pi - 2|\phi_2|)$$
(11)

$$i_1(0) = -V_{dc1}K_1^{-1}(\pi - 2|\phi_1|)$$

 $+V_{dc2}^{-1}K_2^{-1}(\pi - 2|\phi_2|) + V_{dc3}^{-1}K_3^{-1}\pi$ (12)

$$i_2(-\phi_1) = -V_{de2}K_1^1(\pi - 2|\phi_{12}|) + V_{de1}^2K_2^2\pi + V_{de3}^2K_3^2(\pi - 2|\phi_1|)$$
(13)

$$i_2(-\phi_2) = -V_{de2}K_1^2\pi + V_{de1}^2K_2^2(\pi - 2|\phi_{12}|) + V_{de3}^2K_3^2(\pi - 2|\phi_{2}|)$$
 (14)

$$i_2(0) = -V_{dc2}K_1^2(\pi - 2|\phi_2|)$$

 $+V_{dc1}^2K_2^2(\pi - 2|\phi_1|) + V_{dc3}^2K_3^2\pi$ (15)

$$i_3(-\phi_1) = V_{dc3}K_1^3(\pi - 2|\phi_1|) - V_{dc1}^3K_2^3\pi$$

 $-V_{dc2}^3K_3^c(\pi - 2|\phi_{12}|)$
(16)

$$i_3(-\phi_2) = V_{dc3}K_1^3(\pi - 2|\phi_2|)$$

 $-V_{dc1}^3K_2^3(\pi - 2|\phi_{12}|) - V_{dc2}^3K_3^2\pi$ (17)

$$i_3(0) = V_{de3}K_1^3\pi - V_{de1}^3K_2^3(\pi - 2|\phi_1|)$$

 $-V_{de2}^3K_3^2(\pi - 2|\phi_2|)$
(18)

The average power flow for each port of the three portTABconverter of figure 1, can be expressed by product of half cycleaverage voltage and half cycle average current. Using squarewave voltage pattern, the half cycle average voltage is equalto the corresponding dc link voltage for each port and the halfcycle average currents are obtained by averaging the linearmodels from equations (7-9). The average dc power flow overa switching cycle for each port are given below in equations(10-12). The turns ratio term rxvis defined as $r_{xy} = \frac{N_x}{N_x}$

where Nx, Ny are number of turns for windings x, y.

here
$$Nx$$
, Ny are number of turns for windings x , y .

$$P_1 = \frac{V_{de1}r_{13}V_{de3}\phi_1(\pi - |\phi_1|)L_{L21}L_{L31}}{\pi\omega L_{n1}^3} + \frac{V_{de1}r_{12}V_{de2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2)(\pi - |\phi_1 - \phi_2|)L_{L31}L_{m1}}{\pi\omega L_{n1}^3}$$

$$P_2 = \frac{V_{de2}r_{23}V_{de3}\phi_2(\pi - |\phi_2|)L_{L12}L_{L32}}{\pi\omega L_{n2}^3} - \frac{r_{21}V_{de1}V_{de2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2)(\pi - |\phi_1 - \phi_2|)L_{L32}L_{m2}}{\pi\omega L_{n2}^3}$$

$$P_3 = \frac{r_{31}V_{de1}V_{de3}\phi_1(\pi - |\phi_1|)L_{L23}L_{L3}}{\pi\omega L_{n3}^3} + \frac{r_{32}V_{de2}V_{de3}\phi_2(\pi - |\phi_2|)L_{L13}L_{L3}}{\pi\omega L_{n3}^3}$$
(21)

B. Core Loss Modeling for Three Port Transformer

The core loss model for three port transformer is derivedhere using iGSEmethod[13] as a function of operating phaseshift angles $\varphi 1$ and $\varphi 2$. For the three port converter of figure1, the core flux depends on the voltage Vm, which dependson the phase shift angles. The voltage Vmis expressed adiscontinuous function of $\varphi 1$ and $\varphi 2$ over a switching period, however each half cycle can be divided into three continuoussub intervals which are defined as (a) $-\varphi 1 < -\varphi 2 < 0$, (b) $-\varphi 2 < -\varphi 1 < 0$, and (c) $-\varphi 1 < 0 <$ φ 2. The expression forcore loss per unit volume using iGSE method, between anytwo instants y1 and y2 is given in equation (22). The dBdt and ΔB are evaluated as functions of different system parameters for each of the continuous linear interval between 0 to π basedonthe operating phase shift angles. The ferrite core loss perunit volume for each of the three cases mentioned above aregiven in equations (23)-(25).

$$P_v(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} k_t \left| \frac{dB}{dt} \right|^{\alpha} (\Delta B)^{\beta - \alpha} d\theta$$
 (22)

$$P_{core} = P_v(0, \pi - |\phi_1|) + P_v(\pi - |\phi_1|, \pi - |\phi_1|)$$

 $+ P_v(\pi - |\phi_1|, \pi)$ (23)

 $Case2 : \phi_2 > \phi_1 > 0$

$$P_{core} = P_v(0, \pi - |\phi_2|) + P_v(\pi - |\phi_2|, \pi - |\phi_1|) + P_v(\pi - |\phi_1|, \pi)$$
 (24)

Case3: $\phi_1 > 0 > -\phi_2$

$$P_{core} = P_v(0, |\phi_2|) + P_v(|\phi_2|, \pi - |\phi_1|) + P_v(\pi - |\phi_1|, \pi)$$
 (25)

C. Device Conduction Loss & Copper Losses for Three PortConverter with Square Wave Winding **Voltages**

The three port converter of figure 1 has conduction lossesresulting from Mosfet on-state resistance Rdsand from transformercopper losses. conduction loss for devices and copperlosses for transformers are expressed here using harmonic model of the winding currents. Harmonic components of each winding current is derived using the fourier coefficients forthe winding currents. The square of the peak value of nthharmonic component of the kthwinding current is shownin equation (26). The total copper loss for the three porttransformer is of conduction losses harmoniccomponent of currents in the three windings. In this paper, upto 7th harmonic component is considered for copper losses. The device conduction loss for the converter can be expressed as sum of conduction losses for all the three converters. TheRMS current of each device for a H-bridge is $1/\sqrt{2}$ timesof RMS value of winding current for that harmonic component. Henceforth, device the conduction losses are evaluatedusing the winding current expression for the high frequencytransformer.

$$I_{kn}^2 = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left[\left(\int_0^{\pi} i(\theta) \cos(n\theta) d\theta \right)^2 + \left(\int_0^{\pi} i(\theta) \sin(n\theta) d\theta \right)^2 \right] (26)$$

$$P_{cu} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{7} \left(\frac{I_{kn}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{2} R_{kn,ac} \right)$$
 (27)

$$P_{device} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left(4\left(\sum_{n=1}^{7} \left(\frac{I_{kn}}{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right) R_{ds}$$
 (28)

III. THREE PORT PHASE SHIFTED CONVERTER ANALYSISFOR QUASI-SQUARE WAVE WINDING VOLTAGES

A. Harmonic Model Based Winding Currents &AveragePower for Three Port Transformer

In this section, analysis for operation of three port phaseshifted Triple Active Bridge(TAB) converter using quasi square wave voltages or square wave voltages with zerovoltage duration, is presented. The equivalent circuit of TABconverter, mentioned in figure 1, represents a detailed circuitfor three port transformer. In this section, a reduced model of the equivalent circuit is used, which is obtained by considering the magnetizing inductance Lm >> LL1, LL2, LL3and converting the star connection of leakage inductancesinto a delta connection as shown in figure 6. The equivalentinductances of delta connection can be obtained by convertingthe leakage form. inductances in delta Α transformerwinding voltages and currents waveform for quasi-square wavevoltage operation is shown in figure 7.




Fig. 6: Equivalent Circuit of Delta Connected




Fig. 7: Transformer Winding Voltages and Currents The winding voltage expressions using harmonic model foreach port with quasi-square voltage waveforms are given inequations (29)-(31). The three winding currents are derivedhere using the winding voltage harmonics. The harmoniccurrent vector expressions for any harmonic component 'n',using the voltage vectors, are shown in equations (32)-(34). The active power flow expressions for each port are derivedover a switching cycle and are expressed in (35)-(37). Thecurrent expressions in vector form are derived in terms of different parameters, and are

given in equations(38)-(40). The RMS current expressions are shown in equations (41)-(43).

pressions are shown in equations (41)-(43).
$$u_1(\omega t) = \sum_{n=1}^{7} \frac{4V_{dc1}}{n\pi} \sin(\frac{nD_1\pi}{2}) \sin(n\omega t + n\phi_1)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{7} \sqrt{(2)}U_{1n} \sin(n\omega t + n\phi_1)$$

$$u_2(\omega t) = \sum_{n=1}^{7} \frac{4V_{dc2}}{n\pi} \sin(\frac{nD_2\pi}{2}) \sin(n\omega t + n\phi_2)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{7} \sqrt{(2)}U_{2n} \sin(n\omega t + n\phi_2)$$

$$u_3(\omega t) = \sum_{n=1}^{7} \frac{4V_{dc3}}{n\pi} \sin(\frac{nD_3\pi}{2}) \sin(n\omega t)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{7} \sqrt{(2)}U_{3n} \sin(n\omega t)$$

$$I_{1n} = \frac{U_{1n} \angle n\phi_1 - U_{2n} \angle n\phi_2}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{1n} \angle n\phi_1 - U_{3n} \angle 0}{n\omega L_{13}} (32)$$

$$I_{2n} = \frac{U_{2n} \angle n\phi_2 - U_{1n} \angle n\phi_1}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{2n} \angle n\phi_2 - U_{3n} \angle 0}{n\omega L_{23}} (33)$$

$$I_{3n} = \frac{U_{1n} \angle n\phi_1 - U_{3n} \angle 0}{n\omega L_{13}} + \frac{U_{2n} \angle n\phi_2 - U_{3n} \angle 0}{n\omega L_{23}} (34)$$

$$P_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{7} \left(\frac{U_{1n} U_{2n} \sin n\phi_{12}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{1n} U_{3n} \sin n\phi_{13}}{n\omega L_{13}} \right) (35)$$

$$P_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{7} \left(-\frac{U_{1n} U_{2n} \sin n\phi_{12}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{2n} U_{3n} \sin n\phi_{23}}{n\omega L_{23}} \right) (36)$$

$$P_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{7} \left(\frac{U_{1n} U_{3n} \sin n\phi_{13}}{n\omega L_{13}} + \frac{U_{2n} U_{3n} \sin n\phi_{23}}{n\omega L_{23}} \right) (37)$$

$$I_{1n} = \left[\frac{U_{1n} \sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{13}} + \frac{U_{1n} \sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{12}} - \frac{U_{2n} \sin(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{12}} \right]$$

$$+ j \left[\frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{2n} \cos(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{13}} \right]$$

$$= A_{1n} + jB_{1n}$$

$$I_{2n} = \left[\frac{U_{2n} \sin(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{2n} \sin(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{23}} - \frac{U_{1n} \sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{12}} \right]$$

$$+ j \left[\frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{2n} \cos(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{23}} - \frac{U_{1n} \sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{12}} \right]$$

$$+ j \left[\frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{2n} \cos(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{23}} - \frac{U_{1n} \sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{12}} \right]$$

$$+ j \left[\frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{2n} \cos(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{23}} - \frac{U_{1n} \sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{12}} \right]$$

$$+ j \left[\frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{1n} \cos(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{23}} - \frac{U_{1n} \sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{12}} \right]$$

$$+ j \left[\frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{12}} + \frac{U_{1n} \cos(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{23}} - \frac{U_{2n} \cos(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{23}} \right]$$

$$- \frac{U_{2n} \cos(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{12}} - \frac{U_{2n} \cos(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{23}} \right]$$

 $= A_{2n} + jB_{2n}$

$$I_{3n} = \left[\frac{U_{1n}\sin(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{13}} + \frac{U_{2n}\sin(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{23}}\right] + j\left[\frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{13}} + \frac{U_{3n}}{n\omega L_{23}} - \frac{U_{1n}\cos(n\phi_1)}{n\omega L_{13}} - \frac{U_{2n}\cos(n\phi_2)}{n\omega L_{23}}\right]$$

$$= A_{3n} + jB_{3n}$$
(40)

$$I_{1,rms}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{7} (A_{1n}^2 + B_{1n}^2)$$
 (41)

$$I_{2,rms}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{7} (A_{2n}^2 + B_{2n}^2)$$
 (42)

$$I_{3,rms}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{7} (A_{3n}^2 + B_{3n}^2)$$
 (43)

B. Core Loss Derivation for Quasi-Square Wave **Voltage Waveforms**

The core loss for three port transformer is derived here using the Steinmetz equation for core loss. An approximate approachof core loss considering only the fundamental component of core flux is considered here. The core flux induced in the transformer core is a function of the voltage Vminducedacrossthe magnetizing inductance Lm of the equivalent circuit offigure 3. The expression of Vmis given in equation

$$V_m = \left[\frac{u_1}{K_1} + \frac{u_2}{K_2} + \frac{u_3}{K_3}\right]L^2$$
 (44)
here, $K_1 = L_{31}L_{12}, K_2 = L_{32}L_{12}, K_1 = L_{31}L_{12}$, and

$$L = L_{L1}L_{L2} + L_{L1}L_{L3} + L_{L3}L_{L2}$$
(45)

Considering only fundamental component, the

voltage
$$Vm$$
 is given as follows,
$$V_m = \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}U_{11}\sin(\omega t + \phi_1)}{K_1} + \frac{\sqrt{2}U_{21}\sin(\omega t + \phi_2)}{K_2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}U_{31}\sin(\omega t)}{K_3}\right]L^2$$
(46)

the peak value of the fundamental is given in

the peak value of the fundamental is given in equation(47).
$$V_{m,peak} = \left[\frac{2U_{11}}{K_1^2} + \frac{2U_{21}}{K_2^2} + \frac{2U_{31}}{K_3^2} + \frac{4U_{21}U_{31}\cos(\phi_2)}{K_2K_3} + \frac{4U_{11}U_{31}\cos(\phi_1)}{K_1K_3} + \frac{4U_{11}U_{21}\cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}{K_1K_2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The peak value of *Vm*, *peak* is used to find the peak valueof core flux, by considering all the elements from the third

port, it can be referred from any other two ports as well. The expression for core loss is derived below.

$$B_{peak} = \frac{V_{m,peak}}{\omega N_3 A_c}$$
(48)

$$P_{core} = K B_{peak}^{\alpha} f^{\beta} A_c l_m \qquad (49)$$

where f is the operating frequency, $\omega = 2\pi f$, Ac is the cross section of the core and lm is mean length of magnetic

flux path.

C. Copper Loss & Device Conduction losses for Ouasi-SquareWave Voltage Waveforms

The copper losses for high frequency transformer and conductionlosses for devices are expressed in following equations using the RMS values for winding currents.

$$P_{cu} = \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{7} (I_{mn})^{2} R_{mn,ac} \right)$$
 (50)

$$P_{device} = 3 \sum_{m=1}^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{7} 2(I_{mn}^2)R_{ds}$$
 (51)

IV. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY AND **DISCUSSIONS**

A. Different Operating Methods with ZVS & Minimization of Losses

An experimental study of a 10kW three port converterprototype of figure 1 has been carried out for comparing the system efficiencies for different operating schemes. The comparison has been performed for three types of operating mode (a) Fixed frequency operation with squarewave winding voltages, (b) Variable frequency operationwith square wave winding voltages and (c) Fixed frequencyoperation with quasi-square wave winding voltages. Theoperation of three port phase shifted converter for method(a) with fixed frequency and square wave winding voltagesprovide unique operating point for every value of referencepower flow associated to each port. Hence for method (a), solving the equations (19)-(21) provide the solutions for everyoperating scenario.

One of the drawback of fixed frequency operation withsquare wave is at low power scenarios, natural ZVS turn-on

of devices is lost due to low transformer winding current, which results into drastic reduction of efficiencies at low

power region. In order to improve the efficiency at low poweroperating region, achieving natural ZVS turn-on for switchingdevices is important. This can be achieved by method (b), increasing the frequency of the operating winding voltages, so that the phase shift angle required for same power transferis higher than that required for operation at nominal designfrequency. The higher phase shift angle results in higherreactive power and higher winding current, thus extending the ZVS range for the three port converter operation at low power. The second method of increasing the ZVS operating range isto use quasisquare wave voltage waveform, which introducesa zero voltage period in winding voltages, enforcing widerphase angle and higher winding current, resulting in increasedZVS range. However, increasing frequency or reducing dutycycle of winding voltage waveforms, results in change ofcore loss, copper loss and device conduction loss. Hence, determining optimum switching frequency and optimum values of phase shift angles, duty cycles of winding voltages

are necessary.

The turn-on ZVS criteria for any switching leg is explained in [4], where the outgoing current needs to lag the phase

voltage. For negative to positive transition of winding voltagefor any port, the current flowing into the winding, should have negative minimum value during the transition instant. Therequired minimum value of the negative current is given inequation (52), where k is the winding port for which ZVS is evaluated, and *Cds* is the drain-source device capacitance for the switching device.

$$I_{k,min} = 2\sqrt{\frac{C_{ds}}{L_{Lk}}}V_kV_m \tag{52}$$

The evaluation of optimum switching frequency for threeport converter operation with square wave winding voltages, is obtained by varying the frequency over a range and findingthe minimum value of system losses over the frequencyrange. A MATLAB routine is created to evaluate the optimumswitching frequency, which uses the core loss, copper lossand device loss models from section 2 to evaluate the total system dominant losses. The ZVS conditions are checked by using the current expressions of (10)-(18) and the ZVS criterion of equation (52).

Evaluation of optimum values of winding voltages' dutycycles are carried out by using the duty cycles D1,D2,D3asfree variables for **MATLAB** minimization routine 'fmincon'. The minimization routine checks for each combination of theduty cycles over the range of upper bound and lower boundvalues, solves the operating phase shift angles φ 1, φ 2 using equations (35)-(37), checks for ZVS conditions, evaluates thetotal dominant system losses by summing up core loss, copperloss& device conduction loss models from section 3. The ZVS condition is checked using equation (52), where theharmonic components of winding currents are added to getthe instantaneous winding current values during transition, asgiven in equation (53).

$$i_k(\phi_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{7} \sqrt{A_{kn}^2 + B_{kn}^2} \sin(n\phi_k + \tan^{-1} \frac{B_{kn}}{A_{kn}})$$
(53)

B. Experimental Study for Frequency Improvement

The different operating methods of three port Triple ActiveBridge converter mentioned above, are experimentally verifiedwith a 10kW SiC-Mosfet based three port converter. Theexperimental prototype converter uses 1200V/60A SiCMosfetsfor port 1 & 2, and 1700V/45A SiC-Mosfets for port3. The three port high frequency transformer used is made offerrite cores with litz wire. Figure 8 shows

one of the threeH-bridges for three port converter and figure 9 shows the 50 kHz/10 kW high frequency transformer. The converter has been run over 2 kW to 10 kW powerrange. The voltages for three port converters are defined as Vdc1 = Vdc2 = 800V, Vdc3 = 1200V. The frequency range chosen for frequency variation method is from 50 kHz to 100 kHz. The duty cycle range chosen for the quasi-square

wave winding voltage waveforms, is from 0.7 to 1. Figures(10)-(13) show the transformer winding voltages and currentsfor different cases.



Fig. 8: 1200V/1700V H-bridge Converter Prototype



Fig. 9: 10kW/50kHz Three Port Transformer




Fig. 10: Fixed Frequency Operation at 50kHz




Fig. 11: Variable Frequency Operation at 62kHz




Fig. 12: Quasi-Square Wave Operation for 2kW Operation




Fig. 13: Quasi-Square Wave Operation for 1.2kW Operation

The efficiency of the converter is measured using poweranalyzer WT3000. The efficiency is defined as $\eta = PoutPin$. Ifthe RES and ESS both are delivering then Pin = P1 + P2 and Pout = P3. If the RES is delivering and ESS chargingthen Pout = P3 + P2 and Pin = P1. It can be observedthat using frequency variation, the improvement in efficiency as achieved by a small margin. Using the duty cycle variationmethod at fixed frequency of 50kHz, the improvement in efficiencyachieved is quite considerable. However, the efficiency improvement is

achieved around the low power region, whilefor mid power to full power region, all the three methodsprovide almost the same efficiency. In figure 12 and 13, itcan be observed that few ports have loss of ZVS at very lowpower region, where only one leg of the converter experiences natural ZVS turn-on.




Fig. 14: Converter Efficiency with Different Methods **V. CONCLUSION**

The different methods of operating the three port converterhave been successfully tested using the laboratory prototype. The converter models for power flow and loss calculations havebeen comprehensively defined in sections 2 and 3. The threeoperating methods provide almost equal efficiency betweenmid to high power region. The duty cycle variation methodprovides relatively higher efficiency at low power operatingregion compared to frequecy variation method.

REFERENCES

[1] X. She, A.Q. Huang, R. Burgos, "Review of Solid-State TransformerTechnologies and Their Application in Power Distribution Systems," *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, 2013, vol. 1, Issue. 3, pp. 186-198.

[2] P. Joebges, J. Hu, R.W.DeDoncker"Design method and efficiencyanalysis of a DAB converter for PV integration in DC grids," in proc.2016 IEEE 2nd Annual Southern Power Electronics Conference (SPEC),

2016, pp. 1-6.

[3] S.P. Engel, M. Stieneker, N. Soltau, S. Rabiee, H. Stagge, R.W.DeDoncker, "Comparison of the Modular Multilevel DC Converter and the Dual-Active Bridge Converter for Power Conversion in HVDC and MVDC

Grids" *IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics*, 2015, vol. 30, Issue. 1, pp.124-137.

[4] M.N. Kheraluwala, R.W. Gascoigne, D.M. Divan, E.D. Baumann, "Performance characterization of a high-power dual active bridgeDC-to-DC converter," *IEEE Trans. on Ind. Appl.*, 1992, vol. 28, Issue. 6,pp. 1294-1301.

- [5] Z. Chuanhong, J.W. Kolar, "A novel three-phase three-port UPS employing single high-frequency isolation transformer," *IEEE 35th AnnualPower Electronics Specialists Conference(PESC)*, 2004, pp.4135-4141.
- [6] G. Wang, A. Huang, C. Li, "ZVS range extension of 10A 15kVSiC MOSFET based 20kW Dual Active Half Bridge (DHB) DC-DCconverter," in *proc. IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition(ECCE)*, 2012, pp. 1533-1539.
- [7] M. Kasper, R.M. Burkart, G. Deboy, J.W. Kolar, "ZVS of PowerMOSFETs Revisited," *IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics*, 2016, vol. 31,Issue. 12, pp.8063-8067.
- [8] R. Chattopadhyay, S. Bhattacharya, "Modular isolated DC-DC converterwith multi-limb transformer for interfacing of renewable energy sources,"inproc. IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition

(APEC), 2015, pp. 3039-3046.

- [9] R. Chattopadhyay, M.A. Juds, P.R. Ohodnicki, S. Bhattacharya, "Modelling,design and analysis of three limb high frequency transformerincluding transformer parasitics, for SiCMosfet based three port DAB,"inproc. 42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial ElectronicsSociet(IECON), 2016, pp. 4181-4186.
- [10] R. Chattopadhyay, M.A. Juds, G. Gohil, S. Gulur, P.R. Ohodnicki,S. Bhattacharya, "Optimized design for three port transformer consideringleakage inductance and parasitic capacitance," in *proc. IEEE EnergyConversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE)*, 2017, pp. 3247-3254.
- [11] R. Chattopadhyay, S. Acharya, G. Gohil, S. Bhattacharya, "One switchingcycle current control strategy for triple active bridge phase-shiftedDC-DC converter," in *proc. IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting*, 2017, pp. 1-8.
- [12] R. Chattopadhyay, G. Gohil, S. Bhattacharya, "Split-winding type threelimb core structured HF transformer for integrating PV and energystorage(ES)," in *proc. IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC)*, 2017, pp. 2997-3004.
- [13] K. Venkatachalam, C.R. Sullivan, T. Abdallah, H. Tacca, "Accurate prediction of ferrite core loss with nonsinusoidal waveforms using only Steinmetz parameters," in *proc. IEEE Workshop on Computers in Power*

Electronics, 2002, pp. 36-41.